



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,237	09/26/2001	David Ringshaw	PC9472B	9382

7590

09/11/2003

Paul H. Ginsburg
Pfizer Inc.
20th Floor
235 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017-5755

EXAMINER

OWENS JR, HOWARD V

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1623

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application N .

09/963,237

Applicant(s)

RINGSHAW ET AL.

Examin r

Howard V Owens

Art Unit

1623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Howard V Owens.

(3)_____.

(2) Lance Liu (attorney of record).

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 30 July 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 3,6 and 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: Loffson.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Liu asserted that the prior art of record citing the combination of cyclodextrin and antihelmintic compounds such as ivermectin/milbemycin/ivermectin was not obvious because the specific isomeric form of the compound claimed was not set forth in the prior art of record and the number of compounds presented in the prior art would not lead one of skill in the art to target the class representative of the claimed compound(s).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required